UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,935	01/02/2004	Philip S. Siegel	067439.0158	1902
5073 BAKER BOTT	7590 05/01/200 S L.L.P.	EXAMINER		
2001 ROSS AV	ENUE	SHAAWAT, MUSSA A		
	SUITE 600 DALLAS, TX 75201-2980			PAPER NUMBER
			3627	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/01/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptomail1@bakerbotts.com glenda.orrantia@bakerbotts.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/750,935	SIEGEL, PHILIP S.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	MUSSA A. SHAAWAT	3627					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	Echruary 2008						
,	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 February 2008</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
<i>′</i> _	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pane Quayle, 1930 C.D. 11, 400 C.C. 210.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-7,9,11-15,17 and 19-27</u> is/are	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-7,9,11-15,17 and 19-27</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-7,9,11-15,17 and 19-27</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/15/2008</u> . 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/750,935 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

Response to Amendment

1. This communication is in response to the amendment filed on 02/15/2008. Claims 1, 15, 17, 19-21 and 26-27 have been amended. Claims 3, 8, 10, 16 and 18 have been cancelled. Claims 1-2, 4-7, 9, 11-15, 17, and 19-27 are pending examination.

- 2. IDS submitted on 02/15/2008 have been considered.
- 3. The 112 1st and 101 rejections in the previous action have been withdrawn due to currently amended claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-2, 4-7, 9, 11-15, 17, and 19-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arganbright in view of Roman et al., US PG Pub. No. (US 2002/0010634 a1) and in further view of Cybul et al., US Patent No. (6246997) referred to hereinafter as Cybul.
- 6. Claim 1: Arganbright teaches a method of using a computer system for on-line processing of merchandise returns for a plurality of merchants, comprising the steps of: Storing a set of return rules in a database for each of the plurality of merchants (see at least col.62 line 51-col.63 line 10, downloading (information is stored in a database or memory) satisfaction guarantees i.e. rules of returning a merchandise); receiving, via

the Internet, a return request representing a request by a customer to initiate a return of at least one item of merchandise, (see col.63 8-11); and processing the return in accordance with the set of return rules associated with the merchant (see col.63 1-10, user reviews the satisfaction guarantee rules).

Arganbright does not expressly teach using the set of return rules associated with the identified merchant and the transaction information to validate the return; upon validating the return, electronically delivering data about the customer to the merchant associated with the return.

However, Roman teach using the set of return rules associated with the identified merchant and the transaction information to validate the return; upon validating the return, electronically delivering data about the customer to the merchant associated with the return (see at least Para [0021]-[0023]). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Roman into the disclosure of Arganbright in order to prevent the invalid return of merchandise.

In addition neither Arganbright nor Roman expressly teach gathering transaction history data associated with the customer from a computerized database; and displaying the transaction history to the customer. However, Cybul teaches gathering transaction history data associated with the customer from a computerized database; and displaying the transaction history to the customer (see at least Abstract, col.2 lines 25-65). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Cybul into the disclosure of

Art Unit: 3627

Arganbright and Roman in order to provide the consumer with the convenience of viewing his purchase history online.

Claim 2: Arganbright teaches a method of claim 1, wherein the receiving step is performed via an Internet access tool associated with the customer, (see col.63 lines 5-8).

Claim 4: Arganbright does not expressly teach the step of determining whether the return is valid prior to the downloading step. However Roman teaches the step of determining whether the return is valid prior to the downloading step (see pp 0016 line 2 submitted return is analyzed for fraud against a database). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teachings of Roman into the disclosure of Arganbright in order to prevent the invalid return of merchandise.

Claim 5: official notice is taken regarding the old and notorious practice of giving notice to customer that the request has been rejected and is made final.

Claim 6: Arganbright teaches a method of claim 1, wherein the processing step is performed by determining disposition of the item, (see col.63 lines 1-10).

Claim 7: Arganbright teaches a method of claim 1, wherein the processing step is performed by determining a shipping destination of the item, (see col.63 lines 29-31).

Art Unit: 3627

Claim 9: official notice is taken regarding the old and notorious practice of crediting an

account of the customer.

Claim 11: Arganbright teaches a method of claim 1, further comprising the step of

providing a user interface to the customer, via an Internet access tool, wherein the user

interface displays information associated with return of one or more items purchased by

the customer, (see col.63 lines 1-11).

Claim 12: Arganbright teaches a method of claim 11, wherein the user interface

displays a list of transactions associated with the customer, listing items for potential

return by the customer, (see col.63 lines 1-11).

Claim 13: Arganbright teaches a method of claim 1, further comprising the step of

downloading a return label to the customer via the Internet, (see col.63 lines 23-35).

Claim 14: Arganbright teaches a method of claim 1, further comprising the step of

notifying a shipping agent of the return, (see col.63 lines 23-35).

Claim 25: Arganbright teaches a method of claim 24, wherein the system is further

programmed to electronically provide the merchant with information about the customer,

(see col.46 lines 35-53).

Claims 15, 17, 19-24, and 26-27, the limitations of claims 15, 17, 19-24, and 26-27 are similar to the limitations of claims 1 and 25, therefore claims 15, 17, 19-24, and 26-27 are rejected based on the same rationale.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please refer to form 892 for cited references.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MUSSA A. SHAAWAT whose telephone number is (571)272-2945. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (8am-5:30pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Florian Zeender can be reached on 571-272-6790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,935 Page 7

Art Unit: 3627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mussa A Shaawat/ Examiner, Art Unit 3627 April 16, 2008

/F. Ryan Zeender/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3627